Rapid screening B-cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia with BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript by aberrant immunophenotype

Tung Thanh Tran¹, Trung Minh Thai¹, Nhu Thi Bich Cao¹, Hanh Thi Hong Vo¹, Ha The Vy¹, Hoang Cong Phan¹, Huong Thi Lan Nguyen¹, Phong Hung Le¹, Loi Van Pham¹, Thang Thanh Phan^{2®}, Thong Thanh Tran³, Hong Phuoc Mac^{1,*®}

ABSTRACT

Introduction: B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL) with Philadelphia (Ph) chromosome or BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript is a high-risk subtype in hematological malignancy. It is crucial to identify this cytogenetic abnormality because it can help clinicians decide the best treatment regimen quickly and prolong overall survival for patients. The gold standard for detecting the BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript and Ph chromosome is real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RQ-PCR) and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) techniques, respectively. In this study, we aimed to screen B-ALL with BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript by aberrant immunophenotype during the routine diagnosis process. Methods: We divided forty B-ALL patients into two groups based on the presence of the BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript and Ph chromosome. Immunophenotype analysis and white blood cell (WBC) count were performed for all patients. Comparing the expression level of each antigen between the two groups, we recorded the aberrant immunophenotype related to BCR::ABL1 rearrangement. Bayesian Model Averaging (BMA) was used to find an optimal model to predict the BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript in B-ALL disease. **Results**: Besides WBC count (p = 0.004), the presence of three aberrant immunophenotype markers—CD66c (p < 0.001), CD25 (p = 0.002), and CD38 (p = 0.003)—was related to BCR::ABL1 rearrangement. BMA analysis proposed a model to predict B-ALL with BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript using three variables: WBC count and the expression intensity of CD38 and CD66c. The predictive model using WBC count, CD38, and CD66c showed an Area Under the Curve (AUC) value of 0.938 (Cl95%: 0.868-1.000), a sensitivity of 85% (Cl95%: 70%-100%), and a specificity of 90% (Cl95%: 75%-100%) (p < 0.001). Conclusion: The combined model using WBC count, CD38, and CD66c proposed in this study was useful in predicting B-ALL with BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript.

Key words: Aberrant immunophenotype, B-ALL, Ph-chromosome, BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript, CD25, CD38, CD66c

INTRODUCTION

B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL) is a hematological malignancy characterized by the development and proliferation of lymphoblasts committed to the B-cell lineage, arrested at an early stage of B-cell maturation¹. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of tumors of hematopoietic and lymphoid tissues in 2016, B-ALL with recurrent cytogenetic abnormalities is a major concern for clinicians, specifically t(9;22) translocation creating the Ph-chromosome or BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript, as this represents a high-risk subtype². In addition, Ph-chromosome or BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript is the most common cytogenetic abnormality, accounting for approximately 20% to 30% of adult ALL cases overall^{3,4}. Before the tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) era, treatment for adult B-ALL with Ph-chromosome was dismal because the disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) were short ^{5–7}. Since TKI was approved, chemotherapy combined with TKIs became the first-line therapy for the disease, achieving complete response (CR) and improving DFS and OS for patients^{8–10}. Therefore, determining the presence of BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript when diagnosing B-ALL is crucial ¹¹.

Some research has shown B-ALL disease characterized by aberrant immunophenotypes that can be detected using flow cytometry. These aberrant immunophenotypes may correlate with specific cytogenetic abnormalities. Tabernero et al. recorded that CD10, CD13, CD34, and CD38 are related to the BCR::ABL1 gene rearrangements in B-ALL disorder ¹². Owaidah *et al.* reported that the expression levels of CD25 and CD66c were predictive of the presence of BCR::ABL1 rearrangement in B-ALL

Cite this article : Thanh Tran T, Minh Thai T, Thi Bich Cao N, Thi Hong Vo H, The Vy H, Cong Phan H, Thi Lan Nguyen H, Hung Le P, Van Pham L, Thanh Phan T, Thanh Tran T, Phuoc Mac H. Rapid screening B-cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia with BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript by aberrant immunophenotype. *Biomed. Res. Ther.* 2025; 12(6):7481-7488.

¹Department of Hematology, Cho Ray Hospital, Ho Chi Minh City 700000, Viet Nam

²The Laboratory D Unit, Department of Biochemistry, Cho Ray Hospital, Ho Chi Minh City 700000, Viet Nam

³Department of Ultrasonography and Functional Test, Cho Ray Hospital, Ho Chi Minh City 700000, Viet Nam

Correspondence

Hong Phuoc Mac, Department of Hematology, Cho Ray Hospital, Ho Chi Minh City 700000, Viet Nam

Email: hongphuocbvcr@gmail.com

History

• Received: 9-8-2024

- Accepted: 8-6-2025
- Published Online: 30-6-2025

DOI : 10.15419/aqtg4q43



Copyright

© Biomedpress. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.



disease ¹³. Tang *et al.* concluded that the BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript and CD66c exhibit high concordance in minimal residual disease detection of adult B-ALL ¹⁴. No research in our country has mentioned aberrant immunophenotypes suggesting the presence of BCR::ABL1 rearrangement in B-ALL disorder until now, so we decided to conduct this study and identify the associated factors related to this cytogenetic abnormality.

METHODS

Patients

Forty patients aged sixteen or older were chosen for this study. They were newly diagnosed with B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL) according to the WHO classification of tumors of hematopoietic and lymphoid tissues in 2016. Among the 40 patients, 20 tested positive for both Ph-chromosome and BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript, while the remaining 20 tested negative for both. All patients underwent comprehensive diagnostic evaluations, including complete blood count, morphological assessment, immunophenotyping, cytogenetic analysis, and molecular biology testing. All results were fully documented. The cytogenetic and molecular biology techniques followed standard protocols. The patients were enrolled at Cho Ray Hospital from March 2021 to September 2022. The study was approved by the Cho Ray Hospital Institutional Review Board (approval number 1170-BVCR-HĐĐĐ), and each patient provided signed informed consent.

Immunophenotype

Analysis We used the ClearLLab 10C system (Beckman Coulter, California, USA) for the diagnosis and classification of immunophenotype in patients, including ClearLLab 10C B cell tube (Cat no B96805) and M2 cell tube (Cat no B96808) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Additionally, a single staining tube including CD66c (Cat no IM2039U), CD25 (Cat no IM0479U), CD22 (Cat no A60791), CD45 (Cat no B36294) was used, along with another tube including cyTdT (Cat no IM3524U), cyMPO (Cat no IM3455U), cyCD79a (Cat no A60793), cyCD3 (Cat no A66329), CD45 (Cat no B36294), to complement the diagnostic panel. Samples were acquired on the Navios EX cytometers using acquisition protocols provided by the manufacturer. The results of the immunophenotype analysis are collected using Kaluza software version 2.0 and analyzed by a professional hematologist.

Molecular and Cytogenetic Analysis

The t(9:22) translocation or Ph-chromosome was detected in the bone marrow sample using the FISH technique with the Vysis BCR/ABL1/ASS1 Tri-color DF FISH Probe Kit (Cat no 05N5420, Abbott Molecular, Illinois, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, the white blood cells were collected and incubated with a 0.075 M potassium chloride (KCl) solution and Carnoy's fixation solution. After that, the cells were dropped on the marked area of a charged slide and incubated with a 10 μ l probe mixture at 75°C/3 mins and 37°C/16-20 hours. Finally, the slide was washed with SSC/NP-40 solution and stained with DAPI-II solution before being analyzed using the Bioview fluorescence microscope system (Abbott Molecular, Illinois, USA). Slides were automatically scanned on the system, and the results were analyzed by professional molecular biology and genetics technicians. Positive results are calculated as the percentage of abnormal cells over the total number of analyzed cells (at least 200 cells) based on signal images captured by the system.For the BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript detection, total RNA from the bone marrow sample was extracted using the QIAamp RNA Blood Mini Kit (Cat no 52304, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript was confirmed by the RQ-PCR technique using the ipsogen BCR::ABL1 Mbcr IS MMR DX Kit (Cat no 670823, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) for the e13a2 and e14a2 transcript (major variant) and the ipsogen BCR::ABL1 mbcr (Cat no 670023, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) for the e1a2 transcript (minor variant) according to the manufacturer's instructions. PCR reactions were performed on the Rotor-Gene O 5Plex HRM platform and analyzed using RotorGene Q 2.0 software.

Statistical Analysis

The Chi-square or Fisher's exact tests were used to compare frequencies, while the Kruskal-Wallis rank test was used to compare the density expression of each antigen between groups. The Bayesian Model Averaging (BMA) statistic was applied to identify the markers associated with BCR::ABL1 rearrangement and the optimal diagnostic model. Logistic regression was employed to construct the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve and define the cutoff point, sensitivity, specificity, and the value under the ROC curve (area under the curve: AUC) of each antigen and the optimal diagnostic model for B-ALL with BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript. All data analyses were completed using R statistical software v.3.5.1 (R Foundation, Austria). A p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.



Figure 1: Comparative box plot of WBC count between groups B-ALL with BCR::ABL1 (right) and non-BCR::ABL1 (left) fusion transcript. The boxplot shows the difference in WBC count between two groups has statistically significant (p = 0.004). Abbreviations: -ALL: B-cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia; BCR::ABL1: Breakpoint Cluster Region - Abelson 1; WBC: White Blood Cell

RESULTS

Complete blood count analysis in study groups

When analyzing the complete blood count testing, leukocytosis was recorded in both study groups (**Figure 1**). In the BCR::ABL1 negative group, leukocytosis increased slightly with a median WBC count of 18.7×10^9 /L. In contrast, the median of WBC count in the BCR::ABL1 positive group recorded 113.9×10^9 /L, which was higher than in the BCR::ABL1 negative group (p = 0.004). So, the difference in WBC count between the two study groups suggested the ability to use WBC count as a predictive factor of BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript in B-ALL.

Antigen expression between groups

All patients in the two groups had expressed CD19 combined with at least one other B-lineage marker (CD20, CD22, and cyCD79a), and all were negative for cyCD3 and cyMPO (Data not shown). We also recorded that B-ALL with BCR::ABL1 rearrangement share similar immunophenotype of CD10 (p = 0.384), CD19 (p = 0.877), CD20 (p = 0.341), CD22 (p = 0.704), CD34 (p = 0.396), cyCD79a (p = 0.713),

CD123 (p = 0.892), CD200 (p = 0.506), and HLA-DR (p = 0.588) with B-ALL non-BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript. Interestingly, as shown in **Figure 2**, we found that the expression level of CD25 (p = 0.002) and CD66c (p < 0.001) in BCR::ABL1 positive patients were higher than in BCR::ABL1 negative patients when analyzing the expression of cell antigen. In contrast, the CD38 (p = 0.003) expression level in the positive group is lower than in the other.

Factors selection and combined model in rapid screening BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript in B-ALL

In this study, we diagnosed B-ALL by complete blood count, morphology, and flow cytometry panel using 24 immunophenotype markers. The presence of BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript was detected by RQ-PCR testing. By analyzing many factors related to BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript in B-ALL, we found that four potential factors: WBC count (p = 0.004), CD25 (p = 0.002), CD38 (p = 0.003), and CD66c (p < 0.001), which were closely associated with BCR::ABL1 rearrangement in B-ALL.

In the univariable logistic regression, B-ALL with BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript was differentiated from



Figure 2: Expression level of CD66c, CD38, CD25 between groups B-ALL with BCR::ABL1 (right), and non-BCR::ABL1 (left) fusion transcript. The boxplot shows the difference in expression level of CD66c (p < 0.001), CD38 (p = 0.003), and CD25 (p = 0.002) between two groups has statistically significant. Abbreviations: B-ALL: B-cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia; BCR::ABL1: Breakpoint Cluster Region - Abelson 1

B-ALL non-BCR::ABL1 with an accuracy of 76.5% (AUC = 0.765, cut-off: \geq 38.6 × 10⁹/L) by WBC count, 72.2% by CD25 (AUC = 0.722, cut-off: \geq 24% cells), 77.8% by CD38 (AUC = 0.778, cut-off: \leq 84% cells), and 80.8% (AUC = 0.808; cut-off: \geq 20% cells) by CD66c (**Table 1**).

The BMA analysis suggested a combined model of three factors WBC count, CD38, and CD66c. The probability of the appearance of these factors being related to BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript in B-ALL were 91.6%, 72.2%, and 100% respectively. This model was selected for rapid screening BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript in B-ALL because it had the lowest Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) score (-108.5) and the highest posterior probability (50.2%). Due to the low probability of occurrence, the BMA statistics did not include CD25 (43.8%) in the combined model. It both simplified the model and increased the accuracy of the model (Data not shown).

The multivariable analysis showed that the combined model of three factors WBC count, CD38, and CD66c resulted in a significantly increased accuracy value (AUC=0.938, 95% CI, 0.868-1.000, p < 0.001) (**Figure 3**). The sensitivity and specificity of this model in diagnostic B-ALL with BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript were up to 85% (CI 95%: 70.0-100.0) and 90% (CI 95%: 75.0-100.0), respectively.

DISCUSSION

Flow cytometry is a diagnostic tool that is not only necessary in the classification of hematological malignancy but also in monitoring Minimal Residual Disease (MRD)^{15,16}. The most valuable advantage of this method is that it provides results quickly, making it ideal for the rapid screening of B-ALL with the BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript. In our country, there are few medical centers capable of performing both Flow cytometry and Molecular Biology - Genetic testing, especially for diagnosing B-ALL with BCR::ABL1 rearrangement. Therefore, the suggestion of BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript in B-ALL through aberrant immunophenotype markers in these cases is helpful for clinical physicians.

0		•		
Factor	Cut-off	AUC (CI 95%)	Sensitivity, % (CI 95%)	Specificity, % (CI 95%)
WBC	$\geq 38.6 \ge 10^9/L$	0.765 (0.611-0.919)	75.0 (55.0-90.0)	80.0 (60.0-95.0)
CD25	$\geq 24\%$ cells	0.722 (0.599-0.846)	50.0 (30.0-70.0)	95.0 (85.0-100.0)
CD38	\leq 84% cells	0.778 (0.623-0.932)	70.0 (50.0-90.0)	80.0 (60.0-95.0)
CD66c	$\geq 20\%$ cells	0.808 (0.674-0.941)	75.0 (55.0-90.0)	90.0 (75.0-100.0)

Table 1: Diagnostic values of each factor for B-ALL with BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript

Youden's index identified the cut-off value, Area Under the Curve (AUC) value, sensitivity, and specificity of 4 potential factors in B-ALL with BCR::ABL1 rearrangement: WBC count, CD25, CD38, and CD66c



Figure 3: The diagnostic power of WBC count, CD38, and CD66c in combination. BMA analysis shows the Area Under the Curve (AUC) value of the Combine Model (0.938) is much higher than three factors including WBC count (0.765), CD38 (0.778), and CD66c (0.808) when diagnosing B-ALL with BCR::ABL1 rearrangement. Abbreviations: BMA: Bayesian Model Averaging; WBC: White Blood Cell; AUC: Area Under the Curve; B-ALL: B-cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia; BCR::ABL1: Breakpoint Cluster Region - Abelson 1

We assessed the expression level of aberrant immunophenotype markers and showed that CD66c and CD25 expression were highly related to the BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript in B-ALL disease. Paietta et al. previously reported that the expression of CD25 appeared to become a surrogate marker for the presence of BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript ¹⁷. Besides, Sugita *et al.* reported CD66c predominantly expressed on the surface of Ph-chromosome-positive ALL cells ¹⁸. However, we recorded an intermediate expression level of CD38 in our study, which was correlated to the BCR-ABL1 rearrangement in B-ALL disease. It was in contrast with the expression level of CD25 and CD66c. Hrusak and PorwitMacDonald mentioned the intermediate expression of CD38 in B-ALL with t(9;22) or BCR::ABL1 rearrangement¹⁹. In addition, we found that the median WBC count is 113.9 x 10^9 /L in B-ALL with the BCR::ABL1 positive group. Previous research has shown that WBC count is moderately elevated in B-ALL with BCR::ABL1 disease, with reported values of 31.5 x 10^9 /L in China²⁰, 26.9 x 10^9 /L in Japan²¹, and 35.8 x 10^9 /L in Korea²². The WBC count in our study is significantly higher than those reported in Japan, Korea, and China, indicating that our patients often presented with a high disease burden at diagnosis, a characteristic feature of B-ALL with BCR::ABL1 rearrangement used in risk stratification. It suggested that WBC count is a valuable factor when diagnosing B-ALL with BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript, not only for detecting the abnormal cytogenetic but also as a prognostic factor, as shown by previous studies^{23,24}.

Many studies have identified the aberrant immunophenotype in B-ALL disorder²⁵⁻²⁸, but there is a lack of studies that combine multiple factors and rapidly suggest the presence of the BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript in B-ALL. Using BMA statistics, we have proposed an optimal model to predict the presence of the BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript in B-ALL based on the expression of CD38, CD66c, and WBC count at diagnosis. Since flow cytometry can provide quick results, we suggested using this model as the initial step in diagnosing B-ALL with the BCR::ABL1 rearrangement. Additionally, a multicolor flow cytometer device and an optimized panel of CDs can serve as a tool for rapid screening of B-ALL with the BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript. Subsequently, confirmation of the BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript using FISH and RQ-PCR techniques must be completed, following the current guidelines recommendation^{23,24}.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the results of this study demonstrated that WBC count and the expression levels of CD25, CD38, and CD66c in flow cytometry analysis are related to the presence of the BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript in B-ALL disease. Moreover, an optimal model combining WBC count, CD38, and CD66c has shown promising potential in using flow cytometry to predict the BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript in B-ALL disorder.

In this study, we present evidence of a highly comparable profile of cell antigens between B-acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL) cases exhibiting the BCR::ABL1 fusion transcript and those without it. We underscore the importance of utilizing alternative cut-off points rather than a fixed threshold of 20% events for more effective classification in practical applications. However, the study is limited by a small sample size and was conducted as a single-center retrospective analysis. Future research should focus on large-scale investigations to confirm the effectiveness of these findings.

ABBREVIATIONS

AUC: Area under the curve, **B-ALL**: B-cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia, **BCR::ABL1**: Breakpoint Cluster Region - Abelson 1, **BMA**: Bayesian Model Averaging, **CD**: Cluster of differentiation, cy: Cytoplasmic, FISH: Fluorescent in situ hybridization, HLA-DR: Human Leukocyte Antigen DR, MPO: Myeloperoxidase, Ph-chromosome: Philadelphia chromosome, RNA: Ribonucleic acid, ROC: Receiver operating characteristic, RQ-PCR: Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction, t(9;22): Translocation (9;22), TdT: Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase, TKI: Tyrosine kinase inhibitor, WBC: White blood cells.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank all patients for their cooperation, generosity, and patience. The authors thank all the doctors, nurses, and medical technologists in the Department of Hematology for their professional assistance. In particular, the authors are grateful to Professor Vu Anh Hoang from the Center for Molecular Biomedicine at the University of Medicine and Pharmacy for his enthusiastic support in completing the manuscript.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTIONS

TTT and HPM are senior authors who conceived and designed the study; TMT, HTV, LVP, and ThTT selected patients for the study and collected clinical data; HTHV, NTBC, and TMT carried out the immunophenotype analysis; HCP, HTLN, PHL, and HTV carried out the molecular biology and cytogenetic analysis; HPM, TTP processed and performed the data analysis. HPM wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

None.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

Data and materials used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

The study was approved by Cho Ray Hospital Institutional Review Board (approval number 1170-BVCR-HĐĐĐ) and signed informed consent from each patient.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

DECLARATION OF GENERATIVE AI AND AI-ASSISTED TECHNOLOGIES IN THE WRITING PROCESS

No generative AI or AI-assisted technologies were used in the writing, editing, or creation of this manuscript. All work was performed by the authors.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

REFERENCES

- Jaso J, Thomas DA, Cunningham K, Jorgensen JL, Kantarjian HM, Medeiros LJ. Prognostic significance of immunophenotypic and karyotypic features of Philadelphia positive Blymphoblastic leukemia in the era of tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Cancer. 2011;117(17):4009–17. PMID: 21365622. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.25978.
- Alaggio R, Amador C, Anagnostopoulos I, Attygalle AD. The 5th edition of the World Health Organization classification of haematolymphoid tumours: lymphoid neoplasms. Leukemia. 2022;36(7):1720–48. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41375-022-01620-2.
- Itakura H, Coutre SE. Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia in Adults. In: Greer JP, Foerster J, Rodgers GM, Paraskavas F, Glader B, Arber DA, et al., editors. Wintrobe's Clinical Hematology. 12th ed. United State of America: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2008. p. 1820-42; 2008.
- Pui CH. Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. In: Kaushansky K, Lichtman MA, Beutler E, Kipps TJ, Seligsohn U, Prchal JT, editors. Williams Hematology. 8th ed. United State of America: McGraw-Hill; 2010. p. 2243-78.; 2010.
- Larson RA, Dodge RK, Burns CP, Lee EJ, Stone RM, Schulman P. A five-drug remission induction regimen with intensive consolidation for adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: cancer and leukemia group B study 8811. Blood. 1995;85(8):2025– 37. PMID: 7718875. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1182/ blood.V85.8.2025.bloodjournal8582025.
- Takeuchi J, Kyo T, Naito K, Sao H, Takahashi M, Miyawaki S. Induction therapy by frequent administration of doxorubicin with four other drugs, followed by intensive consolidation and maintenance therapy for adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia: the JALSG-ALL93 study. Leukemia. 2002;16(7):1259–66. PMID: 12094249. Available from: https: //doi.org/10.1038/sj.leu.2402526.
- Gleissner B, Gökbuget N, Bartram CR, Janssen B, Rieder H, Janssen JW, et al. Leading prognostic relevance of the BCR-ABL translocation in adult acute B-lineage lymphoblastic leukemia: a prospective study of the German Multicenter Trial Group and confirmed polymerase chain reaction analysis. Blood. 2002;99(5):1536–43. PMID: 11861265. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.V99.5.1536.
- Jabbour E, Kantarjian H, Ravandi F, Thomas D, Huang X, Faderl S. Combination of hyper-CVAD with ponatinib as first-line therapy for patients with Philadelphia chromosomepositive acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: a single-centre, phase 2 study. The Lancet Oncology. 2015;16(15):1547–55. PMID: 26432046. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00207-7.
- Daver N, Thomas D, Ravandi F, Cortes J, Garris R, Jabbour E. Final report of a phase II study of imatinib mesylate with hyper-CVAD for the front-line treatment of adult patients with Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Haematologica. 2015;100(5):653-61. PMID:

25682595. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol. 2014.118588.

- Ravandi F, O'Brien SM, Cortes JE, Thomas DM, Garris R, Faderl S. Long-term follow-up of a phase 2 study of chemotherapy plus dasatinib for the initial treatment of patients with Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Cancer. 2015;121(23):4158–64. PMID: 26308885. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.29646.
- Terwilliger T, Abdul-Hay M. Acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a comprehensive review and 2017 update. Blood cancer journal. 2017;7(6):e577-e. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/bcj. 2017.53.
- Tabernero MD, Bortoluci AM, Alaejos I, López-Berges MC, Rasillo A, García-Sanz R. Adult precursor B-ALL with BCR/ABL gene rearrangements displays a unique immunophenotype based on the pattern of CD10, CD34, CD13 and CD38 expression. Leukemia. 2001;15(3):406–14. PMID: 11237064. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.leu.2402060.
- Owaidah TM, Rawas FI, Khayatt MFA, Elkum NB. Expression of CD66c and CD25 in acute lymphoblastic leukemia as a predictor of the presence of BCR/ABL rearrangement. Hematology/Oncology and Stem Cell Therapy. 2008;1(1):34–7. PMID: 20063526. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1658-3876(08)50058-6.
- Tang GS, Wu J, Liu M, Chen H, Gong SG, Yang JM. BCR-ABL1 and CD66c exhibit high concordance in minimal residual disease detection of adult B-acute lymphoblastic leukemia. American Journal of Translational Research. 2015;7(3):632–9. PMID: 26045902.
- van Lochem EG, van der Velden VH, Wind HK, te Marvelde JG, Westerdaal NA, van Dongen JJ. Immunophenotypic differentiation patterns of normal hematopoiesis in human bone marrow: reference patterns for age-related changes and disease-induced shifts. Cytometry Part B, Clinical Cytometry. 2004;60(1):1–13. PMID: 15221864. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1002/cyto.b.20008.
- Jennings CD, Foon KA. Recent advances in flow cytometry: application to the diagnosis of hematologic malignancy. Blood. 1997;90(8):2863–92. PMID: 9376567. Available from: https:// doi.org/10.1182/blood.V90.8.2863.
- Paietta E, Racevskis J, Neuberg D, Rowe JM, Goldstone AH, Wiernik PH, et al. Expression of CD25 (interleukin-2 receptor α chain) in adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia predicts for the presence of BCR/ABL fusion transcripts: results of a preliminary laboratory analysis of ECOG/MRC Intergroup Study E2993. Leukemia. 1997;11(1):1887–90. PMID: 9369422. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.leu.2400836.
- Sugita K, Mori T, Yokota S, Kuroki M, Koyama TO, Inukai T. The KOR-SA3544 antigen predominantly expressed on the surface of Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia cells is nonspecific cross-reacting antigen-50/90 (CD66c) and invariably expressed in cytoplasm of human leukemia cells. Leukemia. 1999;13(5):779–85. PMID: 10374883. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.leu.2401408.
- Hrusák O, Porwit-MacDonald A. Antigen expression patterns reflecting genotype of acute leukemias. Leukemia. 2002;16(7):1233-58. PMID: 12094248. Available from: https: //doi.org/10.1038/sj.leu.2402504.
- Li Y, Zou D, Zhao Y, Mi Y, Wang J, Qiu L. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of adults with Philadelphia chromosome positive and/or bcr-abl positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a single center study from China. Leukemia & Lymphoma. 2010;51(3):488–96. PMID: 20141436. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3109/10428190903370361.
- 21. Yanada M, Takeuchi J, Sugiura I, Akiyama H, Usui N, Yagasaki F, et al. High complete remission rate and promising outcome by combination of imatinib and chemotherapy for newly diagnosed BCR-ABL-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a phase II study by the Japan Adult Leukemia Study Group. Journal of Clinical Oncology : Official Journal of the American So-

ciety of Clinical Oncology. 2006;24(3):460–6. PMID: 16344315. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2005.03.2177.

- Seol CA, Cho YU, Jang S, Park CJ, Lee JH, Lee JH. Prognostic significance of recurrent additional chromosomal abnormalities in adult patients with Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Cancer Genetics. 2017;216-217:29–36. PMID: 29025593. Available from: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cancergen.2017.06.001.
- Brown PA, Wieduwilt M, Logan A, DeAngelo DJ, Wang ES, Fathi A. Guidelines Insights: Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia, Version 1.2019. Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network : JNCCN. 2019;17(5):414–23. PMID: 31085755. Available from: https://doi.org/10.6004/jnccn.2019.0024.
- Hoelzer D, Bassan R, Dombret H, Fielding A, Ribera JM, Buske C, et al. Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in adult patients: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Annals of Oncology : Official Journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology. 2016;27:v69–82. PMID: 27056999. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/ mdvv025.
- 25. Lahjouji A, Bachir F, Bennani S, Benchekroun S, e SA. Clinical importance of myeloid antigen expression in Moroccan

patients with adult B-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Neoplasma. 2013;60(5):553-60. PMID: 23790175. Available from: https://doi.org/10.4149/neo_2013_072.

- Rodríguez-Rodríguez S, Pomerantz A, Demichelis-Gómez R, Barrera-Lumbreras G, Barrales-Benítez OV, Lopez-Karpovitch X, et al. Impact of aberrant antigens in the outcome of patients with acute leukemia at a referral institution in Mexico City. Revista de investigación clínica. 2016;68(6):305– 13. PMID: 28134942. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0034-8376(25)00290-6.
- Supriyadi E, Veerman AJ, Sutaryo, Purwanto I, Ven PMV, Cloos J. Myeloid antigen expression in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia and its relevance for clinical outcome in Indonesian ALL-2006 protocol. Journal of Oncology. 2012;2012. PMID: 23227046. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/135186.
- Blatt K, Menzl I, Eisenwort G, Cerny-Reiterer S, Herrmann H, Herndlhofer S. Phenotyping and target expression profiling of CD34+/CD38- and CD34+/CD38+ stem-and progenitor cells in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Neoplasia : An International Journal for Oncology Research. 2018;20(6):632–42. PMID: 29772458. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neo. 2018.04.004.